

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

9 CHASE CONSTRUCTION NORTH WEST  
10 INC.,

11 Plaintiff,

12 CASE NO. C15-19RAJ

13 v.  
14 AIX SPECIALTY INSURANCE  
COMPANY,

Defendant.

ORDER

15 This matter comes before the court on Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt.  
16 # 31) of the court's June 23, 2015 order granting Defendant's motion for summary  
17 judgment.

18 A motion for reconsideration must demonstrate either "manifest error in the prior  
19 ruling" or "new facts or legal authority [that] could not have been brought to [the court's]  
20 attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(1).  
21 Plaintiff's motion meets neither standard, and the court accordingly DENIES it.

22 Plaintiff correctly points out that the "Condo Exclusion" that was the focus of the  
23 court's order, even under Plaintiff's interpretation, would not cover losses arising from  
24 new construction on condominiums. In that sense, the exclusion is not nugatory under  
25 //

26 //  
27  
28 ORDER - 1

1 Plaintiff's construction. Nonetheless, the court find no error in its conclusion that  
2 Plaintiff's interpretation renders the exclusion absurd.

3 DATED this 14th day of July, 2015.

4  
5  
6  
7



---

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
United States District Court Judge

ORDER - 2